

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

DERIK DAVIS,

Petitioner,

VS.

CLAY STANTON,

Respondent.

Case No. 2:23-cv-36 JMB

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER OF TRANSFER

Petitioner, who is presently incarcerated at the Northeast Correctional Center (“NECC”), has filed a *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. For the following reasons, the Court will transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri and provisionally grant Petitioner’s motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 5), subject to modification by the transferee court.

In April 2016, Petitioner was found guilty by a jury in Jackson County, Missouri, of three charges including rape, trespass, and felonious restraint. *State v. Davis*, No. 1516-CR00705-01 (16th Jud. Cir. 2015). Petitioner was sentenced to a total of twenty-one (21) years with the Missouri Department of Corrections. After the Missouri Court of Appeals sent the case back for resentencing on one of the three charges, Petitioner was resentenced in January 2018 to a total of twenty (20) years. *See State v. Davis*, No. WD79593 (Mo. Ct. App. 2017). This is the judgment Petitioner challenges in his § 2254 petition currently before the Court. ECF No. 4 at 1.

Jackson County is located in the Western District of Missouri. 28 U.S.C. § 105(b)(1). NECC, where Petitioner is currently incarcerated, is located in Pike County, Missouri in the Eastern District of Missouri. 28 U.S.C. § 105(a)(2). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), the district courts for the Eastern District of Missouri and the Western District of Missouri have concurrent

jurisdiction to hear this case. In circumstances such as this, the district court in which the petition was originally filed may, in the exercise of its discretion and in the furtherance of justice, transfer the case to the other district for hearing and determination. *Id.* In addition, 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) provides that a district court, for the convenience of the parties and witnesses and in the interest of justice, may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought.


Transfer of this action is appropriate because Petitioner's constitutional claims are based upon a conviction entered in a circuit court located within the Western District of Missouri, the relevant records are located there, and if hearings are required, the Western District of Missouri would be more convenient for witnesses. In addition, the Court entered an administrative order stating that, absent any unusual circumstances, any habeas petition challenging a conviction or sentence arising out of a proceeding in the Western District of Missouri should be transferred to that district. *In re Business of the Court*, January 27, 1986. As such, the Court will therefore order that this case be transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Petitioner's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* [ECF No. 5] is **provisionally GRANTED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and subject to modification by the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall **TRANSFER** this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

Dated this 15th day of August, 2023.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY W. SIPPEL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE